

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed December 13, 2007, Applicant hereby elects to prosecute at this time Group I, with traverse, corresponding to claims 6-23, 28, and 29 and drawn to a method for providing an interactive multimedia experience.

With respect to Group II, including claims 1-5 and 27, applicant has cancelled claim 27 and has amended claims 1-5 to be drawn to a method for providing one or more interactive high definition video images. Applicant believes that claims 1-5, as amended are not independent and distinct from the claims of Group I. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the restriction of claims 1-5.

With respect to Group III, claims 24-26 are drawn to a method in a computer system having a graphical user interface including a display. The claims are not drawn to a graphical user interface per se, but to a method for use with a system incorporating a graphical user interface. Accordingly, Applicant asserts that the restriction of claims 24-26 is improper as claims 24-26 are not independent and distinct from the claims of Group I. Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the restriction of claims 24-26.

With respect to Group IV, claim 30 has been cancelled.

If any issue regarding the allowability of any of the pending claims in the present application could be readily resolved, or if other action could be taken to further advance this application such as an Examiner's amendment, or if the Examiner should have any questions regarding the present amendment, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner please telephone Applicant's undersigned attorney in this regard. Should any fees be necessitated by this

response, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct such fees from Deposit Account No. 11-0160.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: January 14, 2008



Sean P. Connolly
Reg. No. 56,668
Blackwell Sanders LLP
1620 Dodge Street
Omaha, NE 68102-1504
Tel. (402) 964-5000
ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT